

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,987	09/12/2003	Fumiya Ohmi	62301-Z CCD	6094	
7590 10/23/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Christopher C. Dunham c/o Cooper & Dunham LLP			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M		
1185 Ave. of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
10/660,987	OHMI, FUMIYA	OHMI, FUMIYA		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Aristotelis M. Psitos	2627			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aristotelis M. Psitos	2627			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading to the statutory period for reply expires leading to the statutory period for reply expires on. (1) the maining date of this Properties of the statutory period for reply expires on. (1) the maining date of this Properties of the statutory period for reply expires on.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2006</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS	y mast be med warm the time pend		(4).		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>9 and 10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached.		n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Nother: Newly Euromitted Substitute draw.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
\sim	/ ''		\mathcal{M}		
		Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2627			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applpicants' amendments after final radiacal alter the scope of the invention previoulsy examined. This variation of the scope inherently requires a completely new search and evaluation of claimed subject matter vis-à-vis such previously performed. Such evaluation at this time juncture is not in keeping with standard USPTO practice. If applicatns' desire evaluation/protection of such a scope then a continuing application needs to be filed..

Continuation of note 11: Applicatns' arguments are drawn to limitations of the claims not entered. In as so far as they address previous positions the exmainer cannot reconcile the argued position with respect to the claims - both previously filed and as presented, i.e., it appears from the arguments that the claims are an attempt to -reformat a disc by comparison of a previously formatted condition with another value. Furthermore, there is no positive step of yielding the newly claimed --- a fraction of the first recording power --- and hence introduces further 112 problems. For these reasons and those stated above with respect to note 3, the claims are not in condition for allowance at the present time juncture.